

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,824	10/23/2003	Frederic Malet	ATOCM-0304	5421
23599 7590 08/09/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER	
			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summan	10/690,824	MALET ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ana L. Woodward	1711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address ?		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 14, 2007 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) /// is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) /// is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Address of the second of the s	•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/690,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. 4,331,786 (Foy et al) as per reasons of record.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. 6,300,463 (Figuly et al) as per reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CA 236322 (Montanari et al) (family counterpart to U.S. 6,916,517 of record) as per reasons of record.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's amendments filed May 14, 2007 have been considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Art Unit: 1711

In response to applicant's argument that the Foy et al and Figuly et al references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., copolyamide blocks) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). As presently recited, the rejected claims read on the homopolyamide blocks of the cited references. It is maintained that either the polyamide reactants or the chain stopping comonomer of the references reads on each of the presently claimed "aliphatic predominately semicrystalline monomer" and "comonomer". In this regard, it is noted that applicants' specification (page 6, lines 11-14) teaches that said "comonomer may be any comonomer" inclusive of *unbranched linear monomers* which implicitly includes the aliphatic diacid chain stoppers of the reference. Furthermore, the generically recited monomers for producing the polyamide blocks per claim 1 even read on the diacid and diamine polyamide reactants of the reference.

Regarding Montanari et al, applicants' argument that patentees do not suggest the production of a copolyamide block is not well taken. Montanari et al clearly teach the production of *copolyamide* blocks as evidenced by their disclosure of "second type" and "third type" of polyamide blocks (page 13). These copolyamide blocks are formed from i) the condensation of mixtures of aminocarboxylic acids and/or lactams or ii) the condensation of mixtures of aminocarboxylic acids (or lactams) with at least one diamine and at least one dicarboxylic acid. These "second" and "third" type of copolyamide blocks clearly read on applicants' polyamide block. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, and within the general disclosure of the reference, to have used a polyamide block

formed from a combination of reactants corresponding to the presently claimed semicrystalline monomer and comonomer with the reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,824

Art Unit: 1711

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA (Table 271-272-1000).

Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711
